



# Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2022 - 2023 School Report



**Fayette County  
Braelinn Elementary School**

## 1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

### 1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member's name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Leadership Team

|                 | Position/Role         | Name            |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Team Member # 1 | Principal             | Erinn Angelo    |
| Team Member # 2 | Assistant Principal   | Emily Nealis    |
| Team Member # 3 | Media Specialist      | Patricia Hipps  |
| Team Member # 4 | Counselor             | Heather Wible   |
| Team Member # 5 | Technology Specialist | Maranda Jaggars |
| Team Member # 6 | Art Teacher           | Kirsten Miller  |
| Team Member # 7 | Instructional Coach   | Brittany Mundok |

#### Additional Leadership Team

|                  | Position/Role        | Name                 |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Team Member # 1  | Sp.education teacher | Emily Sellers        |
| Team Member # 2  | Kinder teacher       | Laura Graves         |
| Team Member # 3  | 1st grade teacher    | Holli Huelfer        |
| Team Member # 4  | 2nd grade teacher    | Anna Bussey          |
| Team Member # 5  | 3rd grade teacher    | Laura Ellyson        |
| Team Member # 6  | 4th grade teacher    | Kelly Miles          |
| Team Member # 7  | 5th grade teacher    | Mary Bohdan          |
| Team Member # 8  | Gifted teacher       | Justine Van Nimwegen |
| Team Member # 9  | Paraprofessional     | Kelly Williams       |
| Team Member # 10 | Sp. education LEA    | Jessica Waseman      |

# 1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

## 1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

### Stakeholders

|                 | Position/Role               | Name              |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Stakeholder # 1 | PTO President               | Jen McCullough    |
| Stakeholder # 2 | PTO Co-President            | Daryn Marsh       |
| Stakeholder # 3 | PTO Vice President          | Rachel Hossenlopp |
| Stakeholder # 4 | PTO Recording Secretary     | Abby Landreth     |
| Stakeholder # 5 | PTO Corresponding Secretary | Briana Berggren   |
| Stakeholder # 6 | PTO Treasurer               | Jaime Fodero      |
| Stakeholder # 7 |                             |                   |
| Stakeholder # 8 |                             |                   |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| How will the team ensure that stakeholders, and in particular parents and/or guardians, were able to provide meaningful input into the needs assessment process? | Through surveys and conversations, all stakeholders will be given multiple opportunities to provide feedback. We will start by presenting the the data collection analysis questions to the faculty (via leadership team reps) as well as presenting at PTO meetings and school council meetings. We will ask each group to provide feedback and evidence to support their thoughts. |
|--|--|

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Coherent Instructional System webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Coherent Instruction Data

| <b>Curriculum Standard 1</b> -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | <p>A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | <p>A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p>                                     | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | <p>A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p>  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | <p>A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p>                                  |   |

## Coherent Instruction Data

| <b>Curriculum Standard 2</b> -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the required standards |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process.<br><br>These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards.<br><br>These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff.   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards.   |   |

| <b>Instruction Standard 1</b> -Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.<br><br>Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms.   |   |

| <b>Instruction Standard 2</b> -Creates an academically challenging learning environment |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).<br><br>Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.   |   |

## Coherent Instruction Data

| <b>Instruction Standard 3</b> -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to curriculum standards |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.<br><br>Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work.  | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.  |   |

| <b>Instruction Standard 4</b> -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learning |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching). |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching).                          | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.  |   |

## Coherent Instruction Data

| <b>Instruction Standard 5 -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students</b> |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | <p>Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.</p> <p>Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).</p> <p>Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | <p>Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.</p> <p>Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).</p>   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.   |   |

| <b>Instruction Standard 6 -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning</b> |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving). | ✓ |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving).                                   |   |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.  |   |

## Coherent Instruction Data

| Instruction Standard 7 -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.<br><br>Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets. |   |
| 2. Operational  | Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.  | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not specific, timely, or understandable.   |   |

| Instruction Standard 8 -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.<br><br>Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. |   |
| 2. Operational   | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging  | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.   |   |

| Instruction Standard 9 -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.<br><br>Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student.<br>The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and adjustments are made. |   |
| 2. Operational  | Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.  | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner.   |   |



## Coherent Instruction Data

| Assessment Standard 1 -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational   | Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.  |   |
| 3. Emerging  | Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.  |   |

| Assessment Standard 3 -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes.<br><br>The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices. |   |
| 2. Operational  | Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices.   | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.   |   |

| Assessment Standard 4 -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results.<br>Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across all content areas, grade levels, or both. |   |
| 2. Operational  | Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.   | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging   | Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.  |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.  |   |

## Coherent Instruction Data

| <b>Assessment Standard 5</b> -Implements grading practices that provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | The grading practices used by teachers across nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both, consistently provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | The grading practices used by teachers in most content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.                         | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | The grading practices used by teachers in some content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.                         |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | The grading practices used by teachers rarely, if ever, provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.   |   |

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Effective Leadership webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Effective Leadership Data

| Leadership Standard 1 -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.<br><br>The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, and community stakeholders. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.  |   |

| Leadership Standard 2 -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learning |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.<br><br>Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.<br><br>The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results.   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning.  |   |

## Effective Leadership Data

| <b>Leadership Standard 3</b> -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | <p>The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.</p> <p>The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.  |   |

| <b>Leadership Standard 4</b> -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement.   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement.  |   |

| <b>Leadership Standard 5</b> -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | <p>Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.</p> <p>Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.  | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.  |   |

## Effective Leadership Data

| <b>Leadership Standard 6</b> -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused on student learning |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | <p>A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | <p>A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently.</p>            | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation.   |   |

| <b>Leadership Standard 7</b> -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple data sources |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | <p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p> <p>Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or both.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | <p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>  | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | <p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | <p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>  |   |

## Effective Leadership Data

| <b>Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff</b> |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual needs is provided to teachers and other staff. | ✓ |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual needs.                                      |   |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual needs.                                    |   |

| <b>Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process</b> |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | <p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | <p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p>   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.  |   |

## Effective Leadership Data

| <b>Planning and Organization Standard 2</b> -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and implement a school improvement plan that is focused on student performance |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | <p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance.</p> <p>This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | <p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance.</p>   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | <p>A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders.</p> <p>The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance.</p>  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place.   |   |

| <b>Planning and Organization Standard 3</b> -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and makes adjustments as needed |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | <p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | <p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data.</p>                                  | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored.  |   |

## Effective Leadership Data

| Planning and Organization Standard 4 -Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | <p>The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored.</p> <p>School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment.</p> | ✓ |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored.   |   |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored.   |   |

| Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and procedures to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | <p>Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.</p> <p>These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed.</p> | ✓ |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | <p>Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.</p> <p>These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed.</p>                                  |   |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | <p>Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented.</p> <p>In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness.</p>   |   |



## Effective Leadership Data

| <b>Planning and Organization Standard 6</b> -Uses protocols to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | <p>Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used extensively to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.</p> <p>A proactive maintenance process is in place, and repairs are completed in a satisfactory and timely manner, when needed.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | <p>Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.</p> <p>The school and campus are clean, well-maintained, inviting, and safe.</p>  | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | <p>Protocols are sometimes used to maintain the school campus and equipment.</p> <p>The school and campus are partially clean, maintained, and inviting, but some safety issues exist.</p>  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | <p>Protocols do not exist or are rarely, if ever, used to maintain the school campus and equipment.</p> <p>The school and campus are not clean, maintained, or inviting, and safety issues exist.</p>   |   |

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Professional Capacity webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Professional Capacity Data

| <b>Leadership Standard 5</b> -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.<br><br>Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.   |   |

| <b>Professional Learning Standard 1</b> -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of a variety of data |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).<br><br>Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data.   |   |

## Professional Capacity Data

| Professional Learning Standard 2 -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff to enhance individual and collective performance |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).<br><br>Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).  | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.  |   |

| Professional Learning Standard 3 -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses. | ✓ |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.   |   |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.  |   |

## Professional Capacity Data

| Professional Learning Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learning needs of the staff |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | <p>Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks).</p> <p>Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | <p>Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs.</p> <p>Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching.</p>          | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs.  |   |

| Professional Learning Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective professional learning |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | <p>Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning.</p> <p>Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning.   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning.  |   |

## Professional Capacity Data

| Professional Learning Standard 6 -Monitors and evaluates the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and increases in student learning occurs extensively.<br><br>Evaluation results are used to identify and implement processes to extend student learning. | ✓ |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning occurs routinely.   |   |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs sporadically.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs rarely, if ever.  |   |

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Family and Community Engagement webinar](#) for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's [KIDS COUNT](#) for additional data.

#### Family and Community Engagement Data

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 1 -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary  | The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.<br><br>Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers. | ✓ |
| 2. Operational  | The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.  |   |
| 3. Emerging   | The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident  | The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school.   |   |

| Family and Community Engagement Standard 2 -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| 1. Exemplary   | Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.<br><br>Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication. |   |
| 2. Operational   | Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.   | ✓ |
| 3. Emerging  | Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.   |   |
| 4. Not Evident   | Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.   |   |

## Family and Community Engagement Data

| <b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 3</b> -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | <p>A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being.</p> <p>Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students.   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success.  |   |

| <b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 4</b> -Communicates academic expectations and current student achievement status to families |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | <p>The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols).</p> <p>Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | <p>The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year.</p> <p>Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p>                       | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | <p>The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year.</p> <p>Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p>  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | <p>The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations.</p> <p>Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p>  |   |

## Family and Community Engagement Data

| <b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 5</b> -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.  |   |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.  | ✓ |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.  |   |

| <b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 6</b> -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students.                        | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.   |   |



## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the [Supportive Learning Environment webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Supportive Learning Environment Data

| Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.<br><br>Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.   |   |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.   | ✓ |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms.   |   |

| Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).<br><br>Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking. | ✓ |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  |   |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.   |   |

## Supportive Learning Environment Data

| <b>Instruction Standard 8</b> -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.<br><br>Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection. | ✓ |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  |   |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.   |   |

| <b>School Culture Standard 1</b> -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school.<br><br>These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented.   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated.  |   |

## Supportive Learning Environment Data

| <b>School Culture Standard 2</b> -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | <p>Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | <p>Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>           |   |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | <p>Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>  | ✓ |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | <p>Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community.</p>   |   |

| <b>School Culture Standard 3</b> -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | <p>Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.</p> <p>The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and strengths to prepare students for success.</p> | ✓ |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.  |   |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.   |   |

## Supportive Learning Environment Data

| <b>School Culture Standard 4 -Supports the personal growth and development of students</b>                         |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students. |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students.  | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students.   |   |
| <b>School Culture Standard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff</b> |  |   |
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>  | <p>The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.</p> <p>The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school.</p>  | ✓ |
| <b>2. Operational</b>  | The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.   |   |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>   | The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff.   |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>  | The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff.   |   |

## Supportive Learning Environment Data

| <b>Planning and Organization Standard 1</b> -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <b>1. Exemplary</b>   | <p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p> |   |
| <b>2. Operational</b>   | <p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p>   | ✓ |
| <b>3. Emerging</b>  | A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.  |   |
| <b>4. Not Evident</b>   | A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.  |   |

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <p><b>What perception data did you use?</b><br/>[examples: student perceptions about school climate issues (health survey, violence, prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent perceptions about the effectiveness of programs or interventions; student understanding of relationship of school to career or has an academic plan]</p> | <p>We utilized the following to collect perception data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Survey data from staff, students and parents.</li> <li>• MRA to gather perception data from students, parents and staff. The Measurable Results Assessment (MRA) is a survey and reporting system that provides schools uncommon insight on the attitudes and behaviors of staff and students. The data informed insights were designed to align with expected outcomes common to schools implementing the paradigms and practices of Leader in Me.</li> <li>• Student feedback from the student lighthouse team.</li> <li>• Staff feedback from informal principal's surveys and SWOT responses by each team.</li> <li>• Parent feedback from PTO meetings and school council meetings.</li> <li>• PBIS data from SWIS and meeting agendas.</li> <li>• Leadership Meeting agendas.</li> <li>• TKES Evaluations</li> <li>• Georgia Insights data</li> </ul>  |
| <p><b>What does the perception data tell you?</b><br/>(perception data can describe people's knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, competencies; perception data can also answer the question "What do people think they know, believe, or can do?")</p>   | <p>Overall, our preception data shows that our students, staff and parents love our school and feel that we are providing a safe learning environment. We look forward to the upcoming year bringing back many of the positive community/family events that we have missed for the past two years due to COVID.</p> <p>Student shared:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Their teachers encourage them to do their best.</li> <li>• Adults listen to student ideas.</li> <li>• They are happy when at school.</li> <li>• They want a voice in decision making.</li> <li>• They are not always excited about the work assigned to them.</li> <li>• They want the power to make a difference.</li> <li>• They want to fix bullying.</li> <li>• Students felt successful and that their good behavior was noticed.</li> </ul> <p>Parents shared:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• They would like teacher conferences as well as student-led conferences.</li> <li>• Communication with parents is strong; weekly school newsletter, social media, teacher newsletters, emails, etc.</li> <li>• Parents believe that teachers have high expectations and support students to help them be successful.</li> <li>• Parents would like to be more involved with the decision making processes of the school.</li> </ul> |

|  |   |
|--|---|
|  | <p>Staff shared:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● They feel our school has high expectations and an engaging learning environment.</li> <li>● They believe that students can lead events more than they currently are involved.</li> <li>● They are fulfilled in their work and feel like they are making a difference with kids.</li> <li>● They want more people to contribute to the overall needs of the school.</li> <li>● Some felt disconnected with regards to culture</li> <li>● POGs were a big positive from the year</li> <li>● Focus on things within our control</li> <li>● More in person events hopefully coming soon</li> <li>● We collaborate well together.</li> </ul> |
| <p><b>What process data did you use? (examples: student participation in school activities, sports, clubs, arts; student participation in special programs such as peer mediation, counseling, skills conferences; parent/student participation in events such as college information meetings and parent workshops)</b></p> | <p>We utilized the following to collect process data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Survey data from staff, students and parents.</li> <li>● MRA to gather process data from students, parents and staff.</li> <li>● Informal data from participation in programs, clubs, PTO meetings, parent/teacher/student conferences.</li> <li>● Faculty meeting, Leadership Team Meeting and Lighthouse Team meeting agenda.</li> <li>● Grade level planning meeting notes</li> <li>● Master schedule</li> <li>● PBIS data</li> <li>● Principal Newsletters</li> <li>● Safety plan</li> </ul>   |
| <p><b>What does the process data tell you? (process data describes the way programs are conducted; provides evidence of participant involvement in programs; answers the question “What did you do for whom?”)</b></p>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● PLC meetings provide opportunities for consistency in planning, assessing, analyzing data, etc.</li> <li>● Instructional time is maximized by using a master schedule which is created by input and feedback from stakeholders.</li> <li>● Guiding practices are based on the district's grading guidelines and promote best practices.</li> <li>● Parent engagement is high as parents support students at home and at school.</li> </ul>   |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| What achievement data did you use?        | <p>We utilized the following to collect achievement data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Milestones data</li> <li>● STAR, DIBELS, GCA, GKIDS and Reading Inventory Benchmark data</li> <li>● Tier Intervention data</li> </ul>   |
| What does your achievement data tell you? | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Students continue to perform well with content mastery.</li> <li>● Achievement data indicates that students with disabilities score significantly above the state targets.</li> <li>● Reading Inventory Growth across all grade levels.</li> <li>● All tested grades (3rd, 4th, 5th) had 92% to 98% of students "at or above" in Reading Status.</li> <li>● ELA, Math and Science Milestone data stayed consistent (with a slight increase) with prior year. We did see an increase in most areas in students scoring 4's.</li> </ul>   |
| What demographic data did you use?        | <p>We utilized the following to collect demographic data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Student information system (Infinite Campus)</li> <li>● CCRPI/GaDOE Portal</li> <li>● FTE Reports</li> <li>● Attendance/Enrollment Reports</li> </ul>   |
| What does the demographic data tell you?  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Braelinn lacks diversity in student and staff populations. The student body of Braelinn Elementary School in Peachtree City, Fayette County, Georgia is made up of 5 ethnicities. The largest ethnic group of the students at Braelinn Elementary School is White (82%). This is followed by Hispanic (6%), Asian (5%), Mixed Students of two or more ethnicities (4%) and Black (3%).</li> <li>● Braelinn student enrollment is stable with a 3.3% mobility rate.</li> <li>● Economically disadvantaged students make up 2% of student population.</li> <li>● Students with Disabilities make up 9% of student population.</li> <li>● English Language Learners make up 4% of student population.</li> </ul> |



### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

##### Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

**Coherent Instructional:** Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?

- Grade level team planning is utilized to collaborate with team members. Curriculum planning includes review of standards, lessons, teaching strategies, assessments and resources. County assessments are used to plan instruction.
- PLC's provided an additional time each week for teams to analyze data to impact student learning. We want to continue to use this time to build formative assessments for the upcoming year.
- Staff continued to adjust based on research-based practices, especially with LETRS literacy training.
- Schoology/Office of Student Achievement offers lots of instructional support aligned with standards.
- Standards-based report cards are helping to guide common expectations for standards, curriculum and assessment.
- STEAM activities, hands-on math stations, writers workshop, hands-on science experiments help to support higher-order thinking skills and problem solving.
- Instruction is planned based on baseline data and provided curriculum maps.
- Curriculum standards are referenced in lesson plans and reviewed with grade level teams in weekly planning sessions.
- Curriculum standards are discussed with students and parents to reinforce expectations and learning targets. Stakeholder discussion shows that the way learning targets and success criteria are communicated to students is inconsistent.
- Differentiation examples include: Lexia, Dreambox, WriteScore, flexible groups, modifications of assignments and activities to encourage individual successes. A variety of instructional practices are utilized to avoid the "one size fits all" approach. Stakeholder discussion emphasizes that differentiation is more prevalent in certain grade levels and could be improved overall as a school.
- The school follows the district established guidelines for regular progress monitoring and criteris for providing Tier interventions. There is room for growth with the usage of Tier II, classroom based interventions such as Lexia.
- PBIS and Leader in Me help us provide supportive and well-managed environments conducive to learning.
- A variety of technology is used to enhance instruction: Dreambox, RazKids, NearPod, Epic!, PebbleGo, BrainPop, Kahoot!, Reflex, Flipgrid, MobyMax. Schoology has allowed us a platform to communicate with students and

## Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

|   |   |
|---|---|
|   | provide feedback on assignments.  |
| <p><b>Effective Leadership:</b> Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Administration and staff are fully engaged in relationship building. A few examples include: leadership assemblies, student leader board, STAR Bucks, staff kudos</li> <li>● Administration makes positive school climate a priority.</li> <li>● Administration supports professional learning through weekly team meetings, PLC groups, POGs, leadership opportunities, weekly newsletters, etc. Professional learning on Tier 1 instruction is ongoing.</li> <li>● Staff members have a voice in the decision making process through Faculty Meetings, Leadership Team, Lighthouse Team, PBIS, School Council. Also through small group and 1:1 conversations.</li> <li>● Admin recognizes teacher strengths and places accordingly in grade level assignments.</li> <li>● A common vision and mission has been developed through a collaborative process but has not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.</li> <li>● Administration ensures effective implementation of curriculum through walk throughs/formal observations, lesson plans, TKES conferences, grade level meetings, RTI meetings, etc.</li> <li>● SIP goals are reviewed multiple times a year, data is evaluated and adjustments are made. Leadership team meetings are built around our SIP.</li> <li>● Protocols are used for our safety and well being. Our school is well taken care of by our custodial team. Safety binders, maps, monthly drills, RSVP, Centegix are all in place.</li> </ul> |
| <p><b>Professional Capacity:</b> Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● All staff has leadership opportunities and each person is a part of a team: Leadership, Lighthouse, PBIS, PTO, School Council, Data Team Leaders, CTL's.</li> <li>● Teachers receive feedback and guidance from administrators through TKES evaluation along with informal walkthroughs and individual and/or team conferences.</li> <li>● Teachers of K-2, EIP, Sp.ed started LETRS to help student achievement in ELA. 3rd grade will begin training this coming year.</li> <li>● PL for specials teachers and paraprofessionals is limited.</li> <li>● Our Instructional Coach is readily available to work with our grade level teams to help enhance our instruction.</li> <li>● Collaboration is growing with the use of PLC groups.</li> <li>● Our POGs (Peer Observation Groups) worked collaboratively throughout the year to observe peers during instruction, provide positive feedback and engage in conversation as a team.</li> <li>● An emphasis on the coaching cycle has the potential to support teacher growth and individual improvement.</li> </ul>   |

## Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

|   |   |
|---|---|
|   |   |
| <p><b>Family and Community Engagement:</b> Summarize the family and community engagement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Braelinn has created an environment that welcomes, encourage and connects families to the school. Some examples include: an active PTO, school council, culutral arts, BINGO night, Spring Fling, Fun Run, Grandparent's breakfast, Veteran's Day, classroom volunteers, verbal greetings at beginning and end of day, music playing during arrival, teachers greeting students at door, student led-conferences, use of student ambassadors, etc.</li> <li>● Communication to stakeholders include: Braelinn Bulletin (for families), Faculty Focus and Braelinn Hub (for staff), social media, school website, PTO website, teacher weekly newsletters and emails, Schoology updates/feedback, parent conferences, LIM notebooks, positive phone calls home,</li> <li>● Academic expectations are communicated by the following: progress reports, report cards, student-led conferences, DIBELS reports, Lexia reports, Milestones reports, math parent letters, teacher conferences, WriteScore data, Google classroom, etc.</li> <li>● Stakeholders request that we return to a back to school curriculum night.</li> <li>● Looking forward to getting back "to normal" without as many COVID restrictions.</li> </ul>  |
| <p><b>Supportive Learning Environment:</b> Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Academically challenging learning environments and student engagement are encouraged through grade level planning, TKES observations, staff development, tracking of goals/standards, use of technology, Nearpod, Google classroom, etc.</li> <li>● Positive interactions include: Leader in Me, PBIS, STAR Bucks student ambassadors, parent holiday lunches, DEAL lessons, guidance curriculum and lessons, Leader assemblies, student mentors, Mystery Readers, Veteran's Day and Morning Meetings.</li> <li>● A safe and orderly learning environment is supported with DEAL lessons, monthly safety drills, procedures specifically taught over morning announcements, bus evacuation drill, cafe school, class procedures/jobs taught, visuals with school and classroom rules and expectations.</li> <li>● The process of building more trusting relationships is still growing.</li> <li>● Use of rubrics/exemplars in the classroom to support learning and independence is growing.</li> <li>● Professional learning opportunities for diversity and inclusion promoted conversations for growth and empathy.</li> <li>● College and career readiness is supported through counselor lessons, career day, high expectations, problem solving connections, Officer Smiley and community support.</li> </ul> |

## Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>Demographic and Financial:</b> Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs? | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Braelinn continues to have a stable enrollment with low student mobility rate.</li> <li>• The student population is not exposed to much diversity in terms of race or socio-economic status.</li> <li>• Teacher retention rate is high.</li> </ul>   |
| <b>Student Achievement:</b> Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PLCs are continuing to strengthen with a weekly extended planning schedule. Our Instructional Coach assisted with creating solid norms and protocols for PLC meetings and data analysis.</li> <li>• We would like to see an overall growth in lexiles for all 3rd-5th grade students to meet the state standard. We have identified a need to educate all stakeholders on effective strategies to raise lexiles.</li> <li>• We are interested in raising the growth scores in math for our students as they move from 3rd to 5th grade.</li> <li>• We plan to focus on moving more students from 2's to 3's and 3's to 4's.</li> </ul> |

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

|                  |  |
|------------------|--|
| <b>Strengths</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We have an inclusive culture and vision for student learning and SEL (Social Emotional Learning). Through the implementation of Leader in Me and PBIS we have established a unified, cohesive school-wide operating system.</li> <li>• Our students with disability continue to perform at a high level on Milestones with scores above the district and state.</li> <li>• We do not have enough students to create a ED or EL subgroup.</li> </ul> |
|------------------|--|

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

## Challenges

- Lack of time for collaborative planning especially for co-taught services.
- Instruction needs to meet the student where they are while still exposing to grade level standards.

### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

##### Overarching Need # 1

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Overarching Need                                | Literacy (reading/writing) instruction and achievement |
| How severe is the need?                         | High   |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | Better   |
| Can Root Causes be Identified?                  | Yes  |
| Priority Order                                  | 1  |

|                           |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Additional Considerations |  |
|---------------------------|--|

##### Overarching Need # 2

|   |                                  |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Overarching Need                                | Math instruction and achievement |
| How severe is the need?                         | High                             |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | Better                           |
| Can Root Causes be Identified?                  | Yes                              |
| Priority Order                                  | 2                                |

|                           |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Additional Considerations |  |
|---------------------------|--|

##### Overarching Need # 3

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Overarching Need                                | Data driven professional growth opportunities to include team collaboration. |
| How severe is the need?                         | High   |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | Better   |
| Can Root Causes be Identified?                  | Yes  |

## Overarching Need # 3

|                |   |
|----------------|---|
| Priority Order | 3 |
|----------------|---|

|                           |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Additional Considerations |  |
|---------------------------|--|

## Overarching Need # 4

|   |                                    |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Overarching Need                                | School culture, climate and safety |
| How severe is the need?                         | High                               |
| Is the need trending better or worse over time? | Worse                              |
| Can Root Causes be Identified?                  | Yes                                |
| Priority Order                                  | 4                                  |

|                           |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Additional Considerations |  |
|---------------------------|--|

## 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

## 3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the [Identifying Need webinar](#). After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

## Overarching Need - Literacy (reading/writing) instruction and achievement

## Root Cause # 1

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | The number of students achieving at a 3 or 4 on the ELA portions of the Milestones.   |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes   |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes   |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education<br>School and District Effectiveness<br>Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders<br>Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students<br>Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program<br>Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses |  |
|----------------------|--|

## Root Cause # 2

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | Application of best practices for literacy instruction especially in the area of phonemic awareness and phonics.  |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes   |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes   |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education<br>School and District Effectiveness<br>Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders<br>Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students<br>Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program<br>Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |



## Root Cause # 2

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses |  |
|----------------------|--|

## Root Cause # 3

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | Inconsistent school-wide instructional framework.   |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes   |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes   |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education<br>School and District Effectiveness<br>Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders<br>Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students<br>Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program<br>Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses |  |
|----------------------|--|

## Overarching Need - Math instruction and achievement

## Root Cause # 1

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | The number of students achieving at a 3 or 4 on the Math portions of the Milestones.  |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes   |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes   |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education<br>School and District Effectiveness<br>Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders<br>Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students<br>Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program<br>Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses |  |
|----------------------|--|

## Root Cause # 2

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | Application of best practices for math instruction  |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes   |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes   |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education<br>School and District Effectiveness<br>Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders<br>Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students<br>Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program<br>Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses |  |
|----------------------|--|

## Root Cause # 3

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | Inconsistent school-wide instructional framework.   |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes   |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes   |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education<br>School and District Effectiveness<br>Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders<br>Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students<br>Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program<br>Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses |  |
|----------------------|--|

## Root Cause # 4

## Root Cause # 4

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | Foundational skills and number sense.   |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes   |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes   |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education<br>School and District Effectiveness<br>Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders<br>Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students<br>Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program<br>Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses |  |
|----------------------|--|

**Overarching Need - Data driven professional growth opportunities to include team collaboration.**

## Root Cause # 1

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | Need a school-wide understanding of collaborative PLC's.  |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes   |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes   |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education<br>School and District Effectiveness<br>Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders<br>Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students<br>Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program<br>Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses |  |
|----------------------|--|

## Root Cause # 2

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | Time for grade level teams to analyze data.   |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes   |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes   |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education<br>School and District Effectiveness<br>Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders<br>Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students<br>Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program<br>Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses |  |
|----------------------|--|

## Root Cause # 3

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | Time to observe other teachers.   |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes   |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes   |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education<br>School and District Effectiveness<br>Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders<br>Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students<br>Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program<br>Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses |  |
|----------------------|--|

**Overarching Need - School culture, climate and safety**

## Root Cause # 1

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | Inconsistent implementation of Leader in Me and PBIS.   |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes   |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes   |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education<br>School and District Effectiveness<br>Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders<br>Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students<br>Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program<br>Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses |  |
|----------------------|--|

## Root Cause # 2

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | Students taking ownership of learning.  |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes   |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes   |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education<br>School and District Effectiveness<br>Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders<br>Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students<br>Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program<br>Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses |  |
|----------------------|--|

## Root Cause # 3

## Root Cause # 3

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Root Causes to be Addressed                                  | Student engagement across all curricular areas.   |
| This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom | Yes   |
| This is something we can affect                              | Yes   |
| Impacted Programs  | IDEA - Special Education<br>School and District Effectiveness<br>Title II, Part A, Preparing, Training and Recruiting High-Quality Teachers, Principals and other School Leaders<br>Title III - Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Students<br>Title IX, Part A - McKinney-Vento Education for Homeless Children and Youth Program<br>Title IV, Part A - Student Support and Academic Enrichment |

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Additional Responses |  |
|----------------------|--|



# School Improvement Plan 2022 - 2023



**Fayette County  
Braelinn Elementary School**

## SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

## 1 General Improvement Plan Information

## General Improvement Plan Information

|   |   |
|---|---|
| District  | Fayette County  |
| School Name   | Braelinn Elementary School                                  |
| Team Lead   | Erinn Angelo  |
| Federal Funding Options to Be Employed (SWP Schools) in this Plan (Select all that apply) | Traditional funding (all Federal funds budgeted separately) |

| Factors(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply) |   |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>   | Free/Reduced meal application                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>  | Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification ONLY |
| <input type="checkbox"/>  | Other (if selected, please describe below)                      |



## 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

### 2.1 Overarching Need # 1

#### Overarching Need

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2 | Literacy (reading/writing) instruction and achievement   |
| Root Cause # 1                                    | Application of best practices for literacy instruction especially in the area of phonemic awareness and phonics.   |
| Root Cause # 2                                    | Inconsistent school-wide instructional framework.  |
| Root Cause # 3                                    | The number of students achieving at a 3 or 4 on the ELA portions of the Milestones.  |
| Goal  | <p>During the 2022-23 school year, all students will reach their individual academic goal in ELA (reading and/or writing) with targeted interventions as identified and needed.</p> <p>During the 2022-23 school year, students in grades 3-5 will increase by 2% on levels 3 and 4 as measured by the Georgia Milestones.</p> |

#### Action Step # 1

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Teachers will assist students in developing their individualized, data-based ELA goals. Grade levels will keep a visual tracking system of student action steps to achieve goals (i.e. student data notebooks, classroom and/or grade level scoreboards). |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant   |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Professional Capacity   |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Student data notebooks/Goal Setting<br>RI/Dibels/GKIDS<br>Lesson plans<br>Grade level/ classroom/individual   |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Improved student data   |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Grade chairs  |

## Action Step # 1

|                             |                            |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Position/Role Responsible   | Teachers/paraprofessionals |
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly                     |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 2

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | PLCs will explore best practices, formative assessments and standards based instruction/grading.  |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Professional Capacity   |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Grade level planning agendas and notes<br>Lesson plans<br>TKES Observations   |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Increase best practices   |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Grade Chairs<br>Instructional coach<br>Teachers/paraprofessionals   |

## Action Step # 2

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |
|-----------------------------|--------|

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 3

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Identified grade levels/support teams will participate in LETRS training (Cohort 2 and 3).  |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Professional Capacity   |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Unit completion data<br>Meeting agendas and minutes<br>Daily instructional application<br>Lesson plans  |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Increased literacy  |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Grade Chairs<br>Teachers  |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Weekly  |

## Action Step # 3

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 4

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Weekly communication and planning with instructional coach to ensure Fayette County timelines and frameworks are consistently being applied.                    |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Grade level planning agendas and notes<br>Lesson plans<br>TKES Observations   |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Increase best practices   |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Instructional Coach<br>Grade Chairs<br>Teachers   |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Weekly  |

## Action Step # 4

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 5

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Teachers will actively differentiate in their classroom during small group instruction following the Fayette County Instructional Framework.                    |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Grade level planning agendas and notes<br>Lesson plans<br>TKES Observations   |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Small group instruction   |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Instructional Coach<br>Grade Chairs<br>Teachers   |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Weekly  |

## Action Step # 5

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 6

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Teachers will provide one to one and/or small group writing conferences with students for writing support.  |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Professional Capacity<br>Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Lesson plans<br>TKES observations   |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Successful student writing samples  |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Classroom and support teachers  |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Weekly  |

Action Step # 6

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

## 2.2 Overarching Need # 2

## Overarching Need

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2 | Math instruction and achievement   |
| Root Cause # 1                                    | The number of students achieving at a 3 or 4 on the Math portions of the Milestones.   |
| Root Cause # 2                                    | Application of best practices for math instruction   |
| Root Cause # 3                                    | Inconsistent school-wide instructional framework.  |
| Root Cause # 4                                    | Foundational skills and number sense.  |
| Goal  | <p>During the 2022-23 school year, all students will reach their individual academic goal in Math with targeted interventions as identified and needed.</p> <p>During the 2022-23 school year, students in grades 3-5 will increase by 2% on levels 3 and 4 as measured by the Georgia Milestones.</p> |

## Action Step # 1

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Action Step  | Teachers will assist in developing their individualized, data-based math goals. Grade levels will keep a visual tracking system of student action steps to achieve goals (i.e. student data notebooks) |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A  |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant  |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Professional Capacity<br>Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Student data notebooks/goal setting<br>STAR Math<br>Unit assessments   |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Increased math success   |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Teachers   |



## Action Step # 1

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Timeline for Implementation | Weekly |
|-----------------------------|--------|

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 2

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | PLCs will explore best practices, formative assessments and standards based instruction/grading   |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Professional Capacity   |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Meeting agendas and minutes<br>Lesson plans<br>Assessments  |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Application of best practices   |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Instructional coach<br>Teachers   |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Weekly  |

## Action Step # 2

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 3

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Weekly communication and planning with instructional coach to ensure Fayette County timelines and frameworks are consistently being applied.                    |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Professional Capacity<br>Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Meeting agendas and minutes<br>Lesson plans   |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Application of best practices   |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Grade Chairs<br>Teachers  |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Weekly  |

## Action Step # 3

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 4

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Teachers will actively differentiate in their classroom during small group instruction following the Fayette County Instructional Framework.                    |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Professional Capacity<br>Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Meeting agendas and notes<br>Lesson plans<br>TKES Observations  |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Application of best practices   |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Instructional Coach<br>Teachers   |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Weekly  |

Action Step # 4

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

## 2.3 Overarching Need # 3

## Overarching Need

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2 | Data driven professional growth opportunities to include team collaboration.   |
| Root Cause # 1                                    | Time to observe other teachers.  |
| Root Cause # 2                                    | Time for grade level teams to analyze data.  |
| Root Cause # 3                                    | Need a school-wide understanding of collaborative PLC's.   |
| Goal  | During the 2022-23 school year, all certified teachers will participate in weekly PLC meetings to analyze data, determine instructional needs, identify strong teaching strategies, etc. |

## Action Step # 1

|  |  |
|--|--|
| Action Step  | Create school-wide schedule for extended PLC meetings on Monday. All grade level teams will participate. |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A  |
| Subgroups  | N/A  |
| Systems  | Effective Leadership<br>Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Meeting agendas and minutes<br>Schedule of meeting dates   |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Improved instruction and assessment  |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Instructional Coach<br>Teachers  |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Weekly   |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 2

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Implement MTSS plan to include monthly grade level meetings led by data team leaders and montly MTSS team meetings to focus on individual needs.                |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Professional Capacity<br>Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Meeting agenda and notes<br>Schedule of meeting dates   |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Students receiving identified interventions.  |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>MTSS Coach<br>Teachers  |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Monthly   |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 3

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Increased use of formative assessments based on PLC conversation and data-driven decisions.   |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Professional Capacity<br>Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Meeting agendas and notes<br>Lesson plans<br>TKES observations  |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Improved assessment techniques  |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Instructional coach<br>Teachers   |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Weekly  |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 4

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Implement Peer Observation Groups (POGs) that allow for observation, follow up conversation, reflection and self-assessment.                                    |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Professional Capacity<br>Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Observation forms<br>POG meeting agendas and notes  |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Increased use of best practices   |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Teachers/Paraprofessionals  |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Quarterly   |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|



## 2. SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GOALS

## 2.4 Overarching Need # 4

## Overarching Need

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Overarching Need as identified in CNA Section 3.2 | School culture, climate and safety   |
| Root Cause # 1                                    | Inconsistent implementation of Leader in Me and PBIS.  |
| Root Cause # 2                                    | Students taking ownership of learning.   |
| Root Cause # 3                                    | Student engagement across all curricular areas.  |
| Goal  | Improve school culture, climate and safety for faculty, students and parents as measured by the 2022-23 state and school-based climate surveys and the MRA staff, student and parent survey. |

## Action Step # 1

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Staff will implement consistent school-wide procedures by following the Leader in Me/PBIS expectations. The expectations will be taught at the beginning of each semester (Braclinn 101) and reviewed weekly through DEAL lessons and class meetings. |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant   |
| Systems  | Effective Leadership<br>Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Leader role/job rosters<br>Leader role reflections<br>Applications  |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Students leading throughout the school.   |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Lighthouse Team<br>Teachers   |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Yearly  |

## Action Step # 1

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 2

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Student leadership roles/jobs will be offered in the classrooms as well as the school level. Some of the leader roles will be assigned while others are by application. |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant         |
| Systems  | Effective Leadership<br>Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Leader role/job rosters<br>Leader role reflections<br>Applications  |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Students leading throughout the school.   |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Lighthouse Team<br>Teachers   |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Yearly  |

## Action Step # 2

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 3

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Implement a K-2 and 3-5 student lighthouse team to provide opportunity for student voice.   |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant |
| Systems  | Effective Leadership<br>Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Meeting calendar<br>Student lighthouse rosters<br>Meeting agenda and notes  |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Monthly meeting agendas and action steps  |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis  |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Monthly   |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 3

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 4

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Staff will recognize students following the PBIS expectations with STAR Bucks. Admin will recognize students on announcements and during Leader Assemblies.     |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant |
| Systems  | Effective Leadership<br>Supportive Learning Environment   |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Leading by being respectful.<br>Leading by doing the right thing.<br>Leading by serving others.   |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Successful classroom demonstrating appropriate behavior.  |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Staff   |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Weekly  |

## Action Step # 4

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 5

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Staff will continue training and implementation of classroom management techniques and procedures using the Leader in Me program and PBIS framework. New staff will participate in Leader in Me training modules. |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant   |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Professional Capacity<br>Supportive Learning Environment  |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Lighthouse Team Agendas<br>Professional Learning Agendas, notes, sign-in sheets<br>Training Documentation   |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Successful implementation in classrooms   |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Lighthouse Coordinator<br>PBIS Coach<br>Staff   |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Yearly  |

## Action Step # 5

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

## Action Step # 6

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Action Step  | Staff will continuously review the Emergency Crisis Notebook and implement safety protocols daily. New staff will participate in Safety Training procedures (Centegix). |
| Funding Sources                                    | N/A   |
| Subgroups  | Economically Disadvantaged<br>Foster<br>Homeless<br>English Learners<br>Migrant<br>Race / Ethnicity / Minority<br>Student with Disabilities<br>N/A<br>Immigrant         |
| Systems  | Coherent Instruction<br>Effective Leadership<br>Professional Capacity<br>Family and Community Engagement<br>Supportive Learning Environment                             |
| Success Criteria for Implementation                | Staff PL and sign-in sheets<br>Monthly drills   |
| Success Criteria for Impact on Student Achievement | Safe, classroom environments  |
| Position/Role Responsible                          | Erinn Angelo<br>Emily Nealis<br>Staff   |
| Timeline for Implementation                        | Yearly  |

Action Step # 6

|  |  |
|--|--|
| What partnerships, if any, with IHEs, business, Non-Profits, Community based organizations, or any private entity with a demonstrated record of success is the LEA implementing in carrying out this action step(s)? |  |
|--|--|

### 3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

#### 3.1 Stakeholders, Coordination of Activities, Serving Children, and PQ

##### Required Questions

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>1. In developing this plan, briefly describe how the school sought advice from individuals (teachers, staff, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, parents, community partners, and other stakeholders).</p>   | <p>This plan was created with the input of all school stakeholders. Small groups (staff and parents) worked to complete the data analysis portion which was then interpreted by the school leadership team. Student, staff and parent surveys were used to drive the perception pieces of this plan. Benchmark data and Milestone data was used to complete many of the academic, process and demographic portions of the plan. The draft was share for input, review and revision with the school leadership team as well as parent/community groups. The implementation of the plan will be monitored by the school leadership team.</p> |
| <p>2. Describe how the school will ensure that low-income and minority children enrolled in the Title I school are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.</p>  | <p>We are not a Title I school. All of our teachers serve in their areas of certification, there is consistent evidence that children thrive under each teacher, and inexperienced teachers are assigned a mentor.</p>   |
| <p>3. Provide a general description of the Title I instructional program being implemented at this Title I school. Specifically define the subject areas to be addressed and the instructional strategies/methodologies to be employed to address the identified needs of the most academically at-risk students in the school. Please include services to be provided for students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children (if applicable).</p>  | <p>Not applicable--We are not a Title I school.</p>  |
| <p>4. If applicable, provide a description of how teachers, in consultation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel, will identify eligible children most in need of services in Title I targeted assistance schools/programs. Please include a description of how the school will develop and implement multiple (a minimum of 2) objective, academic-based performance criteria to rank students for service. Also include a description of the measurable scale (point system) that uses the objective criteria</p> | <p>Not applicable--We are not a Title I school.</p>  |



|                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| to rank all students. |  |
|-----------------------|--|

### 3. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

#### 3.2 PQ, Federally Identified Schools, CTAE, Discipline

##### Required Questions

|   |  |
|---|--|
| 5. If applicable, describe how the school will support, coordinate, and integrate services with early childhood programs at the school level, including strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.  | Braelinn offers our incoming kindergarten students an opportunity to participate in a Kindergarten Experience Day where they get to visit the classroom, meet their teachers, ride the bus, tour the school and ask questions. Incoming kinder students are screened during the summer months to help with class placement of students.  |
| 6. If applicable, describe how the school will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including: Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and local partners; and Increased student access to early college, high school, or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills. | Not applicable   |
| 7. Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students.  | Braelinn is a Leader in Me school that also supports PBIS. Both of these platforms provide school-wide expectations on behavior and leadership skills. Positive Behavioral Intervention and Supports (PBIS) is an evidence-based, data-driven framework proven to reduce disciplinary incidents, increase a school's sense of safety, improve school climate, and support improved academic outcomes for ALL students. Braelinn elementary teaches direct lessons on how to be respectful, responsible and ready through Braelinn 101 as well as class meetings, counseling lessons, etc. We use STAR bucks to recognize positive behavior and classes earn the title of STAR Class of the Week for collecting the most STAR bucks collectively. |

ADDITIONAL RESPONSES

|   |  |
|---|--|
| 8. Use the space below to provide additional narrative regarding the school’s improvement plan. |  |
|---|--|